| **Student Name:** Emma Demopoulos |
| --- |

| **Motion:** This house will abolish mandatory minimum sentencing |
| --- |

| Student spoke for the duration of the specified time frame. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | **5** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Student offered and/or accepted a point of information relevant to the topic. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | **5** |
| Student spoke in a stylistic and persuasive manner (e.g. volume, speed, tone, diction, and flow). | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student’s argument is complete in that it has relevant Claims, supported by sufficient Evidence/Warrants, Impacts, and Synthesis. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student argument reflects application of theory taught during class time. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | **4** | 5 |
| Student’s rebuttal is effective, and directly responds to an opponent’s arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student ably supported teammate’s case and arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student applied feedback from previous debate(s). | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Competition Score: | 70.5 | | | | | |
| Rubric  1 - Unobserved.  2 - Student attempt noted. Needs extended teacher support to properly execute skill.  3 - Student effort noted. Can execute skill with minimal teacher input and guidance.  4 - Student can execute skill with little to no prompting.  5 - Student can execute skill without prompting; exceeds expectations for child of that level. | | | | | | |
| **Teacher comments:**  [NOTE: Today’s speeches are 6 minutes’ long.]  Nice call-out but execute it fully and tell me what’s wrong with their set-up!  Good job flipping that mandatory minimums could also correct the inequality of the privileged getting lower sentences.   * But prove first that the enforcement could be equal to begin with. * Explain that there is a prejudice in sentencing too, so mandatory minimums actively solve it.   As the first speaker, give me the counter set-up first before the rebuttals:   * Good defense of technocrats, but this isn’t status quo. Be clear if it’s a new policy. * Do we have these criminal reforms under the status quo? What changes do you propose to criminal law?   Nice effort washing out racism in enforcement, however, on a scale, more minorities are victimised under the model of mandatory minimums. So fully engage with the human costs.  Interesting pushback that a lighter sentence would also hurt the perception of them being rehabilitated.   * But it isn’t clear why the judges would actively give very low sentences if these people have committed something severe.   + In reality, they would only get lower sentences if the judges believe the crime was not even that severe to begin with. * Deterrence would be a better principle to defend here.   On lacking transparency, is there a reason why Opp mistrusts the judges?   * If the problem is biases, it isn’t clear how the Opp deals with the root problem either. * For criminal prosecution, the discussions you are referencing are straight up corruption that Prop can weed out via impeachment. * It isn’t clear why Prop has to defend criminals getting away scot free!   + Instead, explain why mitigating factors used in court sentencing guidelines often rely on just the intention of criminals, which is something they can lie about to get a lower sentence.   Good job offering POIs today!  6.11 | | | | | | |